

Office Overview and NEPA Assignment:

Briefing for FTP Implementation Committee

Speaker:

Jason Watts,
Director Office of Environmental Management

November 6, 2017



The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable federal environmental laws described in this training are carried out by FDOT pursuant to 23 U.S.C. §327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated December 14, 2016, executed by FHWA and FDOT.



Office of Environmental Management Roles

Support Districts

Provide project and program guidance

Update procedures and manuals

Develop and deliver training

Develop tools for efficiency of our processes

Review and Approve certain Environmental Documents

Provide Environmental Permitting Support





Environmental Management throughout the Process









NEPA Assignment Overview

Surface Transportation Project Delivery Program

- 23 U.S.C. 327; commonly known as "NEPA Assignment"
 - Authorizes the Secretary of the United States Department of
 Transportation to assign to the states his or her responsibilities for:
 - National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)
 - Environmental review, consultation or other actions required by Federal
 environmental laws
 - States can request responsibility for:
 - Highway Projects
 - Multimodal projects
 - Public Transportation
 - Railroad Projects





Responsibilities Assumed by FDOT

- Environmental review, consultation and actions for review and approval of highway projects
- Determine Class of Action (CE, EA, EIS)
- Approve Purpose and Need
- Approve NEPA Decision Document
- Grant Location and Design Concept Acceptance
- Conduct Re-evaluations
- Direct consultation with federal resource agencies
- Defend NEPA document in federal court





Responsibilities Retained by FHWA

- Specific projects listed in the MOU
- Projects that cross state lines
- Government to Government Tribal consultation
- Project-level air quality conformity determinations
- USDOT responsibilities for statewide and metropolitan planning
- Section 4(f) Constructive Use





FDOT Responsible for more than just NEPA

(list is not comprehensive)

Wildlife

Endangered Species Act of 1973; Marine Mammal Protection Act; Anadromous Fish Conservation Act; Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act; Migratory Bird Treaty Act; Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976, as amended, with Essential Fish Habitat requirements at 16 U.S.C. § 1855(b)(2)

Historic and Cultural Resources

National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended; 23 U.S.C. § 138 and Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act of 1966; Preservation of Historical and Archaeological Data; Archeological Resources Protection Act of 1979; Native American Grave Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA)

Air Quality

Clean Air Act, with the exception of project level conformity determinations

Hazardous Material Management

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA); Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA); Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)

Parklands and Other Special Land Uses

Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act of 1966; Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) Act

Social and Economic Impacts

American Indian Religious Freedom Act; Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA)

Noise

Noise Control Act of 1972; FHWA noise regulations at 23 C.F.R. Part 772; Airport Noise and Capacity Act of 1990

Water Resources and Wetlands

Clean Water Act; Coastal Barrier Resources Act; Coastal Zone Management Act; Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA); Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899; Wild and Scenic Rivers Act; Emergency Wetlands Resources Act; Wetlands Mitigation; Flood Disaster Protection Act; FHWA wetland and natural habitat mitigation regulations, 23 C.F.R. Part 777



NEPA Assignment Savings

- Removes one layer of governmental review FHWA
- California, Texas and Ohio report a 25% to 30% overall time reduction
- FDOT anticipates similar time savings
- FDOT anticipates 25% cost savings in the PD&E phase







NEPA Assignment Saves Cost

A shorter PD&E Phase saves project costs and staff time

- Time = money
- Less potential for changes in environmental conditions or laws
- Fewer document revisions
- A shorter PD&E phase reduces the probability of environmental or regulatory changes which can alter your design
- Fewer design changes reduce the number of reevaluations







Questions



